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Safety, Efficacy, and Utility of Platelet-Rich Fibrin Matrix in Facial Plastic Surgery

Anthony P. Sclafani, MD

Objective: To evaluate the clinical safety and efficacy of the use of autologous platelet-rich fibrin matrix (PRFM) in facial plastic surgery.

Methods: Medical charts of the last 50 patients with at least 3 months of follow-up who were treated by the author with PRFM for aesthetic purposes were reviewed for patient satisfaction, objective clinical results, and adverse events.

Results: The study cohort of patients was followed up for a mean (SD) of 9.9 (8.0) months (range, 3-30 months). Most patients were treated for deep nasolabial folds, while the volume-depleted midface region, superficial rhytids, and acne scars were other commonly treated areas. The patients underwent an average of 1.6 treatments (range, 1-5 treatments). No patients reported any swelling lasting longer than 5 days, and most noted only minimal bruising lasting for 1 to 3 days. Most patients were satisfied with the results of their treatments, although 1 patient felt that there was limited or no improvement after 2 treatments.

Conclusions: Autologous PRFM treatment is a well-tolerated, excellent choice for use in the face. Further studies on the precise mechanism of action of PRFM are ongoing.


GROWTH FACTORS (GFs) have long represented an area of interest for surgeons attempting to modify and enhance the wound-healing process. However, a single GF application has had infrequent clinical success.1,2 Autologous GFs, derived from platelets, are the primary agents of action in the platelet-rich plasma (PRP) that is used currently. However, studies in facial plastic surgery have been equivocal in demonstrating clinical benefit with PRP.3-5 Also, PRP systems generally require large volumes of blood yet produce small volumes of PRP. More importantly, most PRP systems rely on animal-derived thrombin to initiate platelet degranulation, and no system has been shown to produce sustained GF release.

This “1-time” GF release that is associated with PRP use may explain the transient effect of PRP on wound healing noted by Sclafani et al,6 who found an increase in endothelial cells and fibroblasts 7 days after creating an experimental wound; however, this enhancement was lost by day 14. Other investigators who were studying epidermal GF noted that the effect of exogenous epidermal GF was transient and that sustained application of epidermal GF enhanced wound repair.7

Platelet-rich fibrin matrix (PRFM) (Sephyl; Aesthetic Factors, Wayne, New Jersey) is distinct from PRP and can be produced with as little as 9 mL of peripheral blood. It is collected in a vacuum-sealed collection tube with a thixotropic separator gel, and the tube is centrifuged for 6 minutes at 1100 rpm. This process separates the red and white blood cells from the plasma and platelets, which are then transferred in a closed system to a second tube containing calcium chloride; it is this small amount of calcium that initiates the fibrinogen cleavage and the fibrin polymerization. The resultant mixture can be easily injected through a 30-gauge needle for approximately 10 to 12 minutes, after which the polymerization of the fibrin produces a solid fibrin clot.

Platelet-rich fibrin matrix does not produce the very high platelet concentrations that are seen in PRP. Rather, it more closely replicates the wound response. It
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includes the formation of a 3-dimensional cross-linked fibrin matrix, which is essential to the platelet plug, as it serves as a binding site for both platelets and GFs. This scaffolding helps localize the GFs, essentially increasing the local concentration at the desired location to guide tissue regeneration.

I previously described my early clinical experience with PRFM\(^8\) and reported the results of a clinical trial of PRFM for the improvement of deep nasolabial folds.\(^9\) In the latter study, patients were treated with a single (intradermal and/or subdermal) injection of PRFM for moderately severe nasolabial folds. Clinically significant improvement in the nasolabial folds was noted as early as 2 weeks after treatment, with slight improvement (no loss of correction) over the following 10 weeks of the trial.

Since 2008, I have used PRFM to treat deep nasolabial folds and superficial rhytids as well as for facial volumization and depresed or acne scars (in combination with subcision), mixed with fat (as described by others\(^10\)\(^11\)), and to accelerate wound healing in face-lifts, facial implants, and lateral osteotomies in rhinoplasties. This article presents a review of 50 cases that were treated with PRFM in my practice.

**METHODS**

Office medical charts were reviewed to identify the last 50 patients who had been treated with PRFM with a minimum of 3 months of follow-up. They were reviewed for patient demographics as well as for the following PRFM treatment parameters: specific intended goals of treatment, number of treatments, areas treated, volumes injected, posttreatment sequelae, and length of follow-up.

## RESULTS

The study included 44 women and 6 men, with a mean (SD) age of 51.3 (12.6) years (age range, 23.5-72.5 years). The patients were treated an average of 1.8 times (range, 1-5 treatments). Most patients were Fitzpatrick skin type II or III, but 4 patients were skin type IV. The indications for treatment are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nasolabial folds</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial volumization</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial rhytids</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acne scars</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinoplasty</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial implant</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous fat transfer</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinolitomy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed scar</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average volumes injected, by area, are listed in the **Table**. The mean (SD) duration of follow-up was 9.9 (8.0) months (range, 3-30 months).

Patients generally noted that the correction seen immediately after treatment subsided partially over the first 24 to 72 hours afterward. Most patients noted only mild bruising, which was easily covered with cosmetics, for the first 1 to 3 days, although a few patients (especially those treated in the periorbital area) experienced ecchymosis lasting up to 14 days. Most patients perceived noticeable improvement in the treated areas by 5 to 7 days after treatment, and almost all (approximately 90%) noticed continued improvement until 2 to 4 weeks after treatment. Five patients felt that the changes after their first treatment were minimal and were re-treated; of these, 4 did note improvement after the second treatment. No patients

---

**PREPARATION OF PRFM**

Autologous PRFM was prepared with the following system: Briefly, 9 mL of peripheral blood was collected in a specialized vacuum-sealed collection tube containing an anticoagulant (sodium citrate) and a separator gel. Next, the tube was centrifuged for 6 minutes at 1100 rpm, separating the cellular components below the gel and plasma and platelets above the gel. Platelets and plasma were then transferred to a second tube containing calcium chloride, and the PRFM was injected using a 27- or 30-gauge needle into the dermis, subdermis, or preperiosteal plane as needed.
Autologous tissue would be the ideal material choice for soft-tissue augmentation in the face if it could be provided in a simple process with good predictability. Need for tissue harvesting, access incisions, postoperative recovery, and often unpredictable graft survival and longevity have encouraged physicians to consider other available minimally invasive techniques and materials. These materials, however, may be resorbed, infected, or associated with a chronic granulomatous response. Early attempts to use the patient’s own collagen to promote soft-tissue augmentation showed limited persistence. Injection of cultured autologous fibroblasts was expensive and time consuming and provided equivocal results.

Dermal stimulation with exogenous microparticles (e.g., poly-L-lactic acid) has been shown to effectively thicken the dermis. However, the results require multiple treatments, and care must be taken to avoid nodularity and granulomas. Moreover, this technique requires implantation of a foreign body to act as the stimulus for collagen deposition.

Ideally, the body’s natural capacity to generate collagen would be used to create additional bulk. In a study examining the effects of PRP, my colleagues and I noted an early increase in endothelial cells and fibroblasts in a wound, which did not persist after 7 days. This early effect on fibroblasts and endothelial cells, however, spurred our interest in harnessing the body’s natural mechanisms for wound repair and collagen production for use in soft-tissue augmentation.

Platelet-rich fibrin matrix (Selphyl) has been available in the United States for several years and has been used clinically in orthopedic surgical and wound-healing applications. In a study of chronic, nonhealing venous leg ulcers, O’Connell et al were able to induce closure in 66.7% of wounds within 16 weeks with topical application of PRFM. In facial plastic surgery, a clinically significant reduction of Wrinkle Assessment Scale scores was noted after a single intradermal/subdermal injection of PRFM into moderate to deep nasolabial folds. The improvement was noted as early as 2 weeks after treatment and persisted throughout the 12-week duration of the study.

I believe that autologous PRFM produces sustained tissue effects because it more closely mimics the body’s natural wound-healing response. As opposed to PRP, PRFM does not rely on extremely high concentrations of platelets and a massive, 1-time release of GFs but rather on providing a more natural, sustained wound response. It concentrates platelets but not to the extreme degree seen in PRP. Instead, platelets are delivered to the tissue accompanied by an actively polymerizing 3-dimensional fibrin mesh. This mesh serves to localize tissue activity because both platelets and their GFs bind to it, as in the natural wound. Moreover, platelets in PRFM in vitro have been shown to continue to synthesize and release bioactive GFs over the first 7 days. It is this natural, sustained GF release that I believe is critical to the development of the sustained tissue effects. By localizing platelets and GFs and fostering a physiologic tissue response in the treated area, PRFM produces guided tissue regeneration. According to the preliminary results from an ongoing histologic study of skin treated with PRFM, new collagen has been identified as early as 7 days after treatment, and maturing collagen fibers are still evident at 10 weeks.

Platelet-rich fibrin matrix can be used to correct fine rhytids and deeper folds as well as for facial volumization (Figure 1). It can also be combined with subcision to improve the appearance of rolling acne scars. Two and a half years of clinical experience using PRFM has shown it to be safe and effective, producing relatively early clinical improvements with prolonged effect. No patients have seen the total loss of the original correction, and only a few have noticed any significant loss of effect; it is unclear whether this loss of effect represents resorption of new collagen or is simply...
the result of continued aging in these patients. I have also used PRFM adjunctively during rhytidectomy, rhinoplasty (Figure 2), and autologous fat transfer to promote wound healing, to limit ecchymosis and edema, and to accelerate angiogenesis and revascularization, respectively. No patient has developed any irregularity, nodularity, or excessive fibrosis.

It has also become clear that initial overcorrection is desirable, as some of the injected volume is related to the plasma volume, which is rapidly resorbed over a 3- to 12-hour period. Indeed, initial undercorrection may explain why some patients responded clinically to a second injection after failing to show clinically significant improvement after the initial treatment. It should be noted, however, that 1 patient failed to show clinically relevant improvement after 2 injections, and patients should be advised that unknown factors (possibly related to their skin or platelet function) may prevent generation of an adequate response. However, sustained clinical results have been seen over the long term, and PRFM represents the only natural-based method of autologous tissue regeneration.
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Figure 2. Photographs taken before (A and B) and after (C and D) treatment with platelet-rich fibrin matrix. A and B, Preoperative views of a patient undergoing external rhinoplasty with tip contouring and grafting in addition to dorsal reduction and bilateral medial, intermediate, and lateral osteotomies. Platelet-rich fibrin matrix is injected along the intermediate and lateral osteotomy lines immediately before the dorsal cast is applied. C and D, Photographs taken immediately after removal of the cast and sutures on postoperative day 6 show no ecchymosis and limited edema.
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